AE SENIOR THESIS 2012-13 TECHNICAL REPORT 3



Punit Das Structural Option Advisor: Dr. Linda Hanagan

Signature Boutique Offices India J

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Building Introduction	4
Structural System Overview	6
Foundations	6
Gravity Framing System	7
Floor System	8
Lateral System Design Codes	9 10
Materials	12
Gravity Loads	14
Lateral Loads	17
Wind Loads	17
Seismic loads	22
Analysis of Lateral Loads	26
Finite Element Model	
Conclusion	30
Appendix 1: Wind Loads	31
Appendix 2: Seismic Loads	49
Appendix 4: Spot check of Shear Wall	53
Appendix 5: Story Drift	57

Executive Summary

The third technical report consists of analysis of the lateral force resisting system of The Optimus. The 17 story, 252 ft tall reinforced concrete building is supported by reinforced concrete 8" flat slabs on reinforced concrete columns. The flat slabs contain 8" deep drop panels around the columns. The building facade is supported at the perimeter of the floor slabs. The 1st to the 3rd level of the building consist of parking spaces and 5th to 17th level consists of office spaces. The roof of the building houses a garden and a gymnasium. The structure of the building has been optimized and designed to fit with the architecture of the building.

The lateral force resisting system is an important part of the structural system that helps stabilize the building and supports the building form wind loads and earthquake effects. The lateral system of The Optimus consists of a reinforced shear wall core that spans from the base of the building to the roof. The Optimus contains 14 shear walls spanning North-South direction and 3 shear walls spanning in East-West direction. All the walls are concentrated around the elevator shaft and stairwells where continuity can be achieved in the wall system.

The lateral system analysis in this report starts with calculation of wind loads using ASCE 7-10 Directional procedure. The basic wind speed used to calculate wind loads is acquired from the weather data of the existing location of the building. Seismic loads are calculated using Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure in ASCE 7-10 and by relocating the building to a location with similar seismic behavior. The building was relocated because ASCE 7-10 does not contain seismic information for the existing location of the building.

The wind loads were distributed vertically to determine force at each story and the force at a typical story was distributed horizontally. Similarly, vertical distribution of seismic base shear was calculated. The horizontal distribution of wind and seismic loads was carried out using stiffness values of each shear wall. It was found that two shear walls were critical in carrying maximum shear and the largest wall was checked for shear and overturning moment. Also. the stiffness of every story was used to calculate drifts due to seismic and wind loads due to cracked as and un-cracked section of shear walls. It was found that the drifts at the top story were under the limiting deflection defined in ASCE 7-10.

Although finite element modeling of the building was performed, the report was not able to acquire appropriate results from the computer model. This was because of lack of simplification of the building elements. It was found that simplification of floor diaphragms to rigid-membrane elements and proper mesh size would help simplify the model for future computer analysis.

Building Introduction



Figure 1 Aerial map from Google.com showing the location of the building site.

The Optimus is a new building rising in the economic capital of India. The building is owned by Lodha Group, one of the prime developers in the city and is designed by Pei Cobb Freed and Partners Architects LLP, New York. It is part of the large redevelopment project that used to be a textile mill. The project consists of residential buildings, offices, parking garages and retail spaces. The Optimus is mainly an office building designed to cater the needs of small and medium size companies who look for office spaces in the business district of the city. It is 17 stories tall with 4 stories of parking and ground floor retail.

The design of The Optimus is functional and elegant. Although the building is located in tight



Figure 2 Rendering showing roof garden story contains a roof garden.

Although the building is located in tight boundaries it makes efficient use of space by expanding vertically. To cater the requirements of the offices, it offers open and customizable floor space. The spacing of the structural and architectural elements offer flexible partitioning for office areas. The building provides recreational facilities that include a gymnasium, roof garden, green balcony spaces at every floor and a garden at the lobby area. The 2 basements and first 3 levels are dedicated to parking with 5th level as garden, lobby and office. The office spaces start from 6 to 17th story and 18th



Just like the interior, the exterior of the building is efficient in utilizing the available resources at

qualities. The envelope of the building is designed to fit into the fabric of the city which also becomes an important architectural feature of the building. Three kinds of materials decorate the facade: metal, stone and plants. The north facade, that faces residential apartments, provides a view of green wall to the apartment buildings and the south facade provides a panoramic view of the city to all the office spaces.

the same time maintaining its aesthetic

Figure 3 Rendering of the building entrance

The south facade is dominated by a bold and modern look with metal cladding and windows offset inside to provide solar shading in the interior. The front facade facing the main street shows a play of all materials on the facade: stone, metal and green wall giving a rich look to



Figure 4 Rendering of the building facade

the building front.

The structure of the building complements the architectural features. A successful building is achieved when its structure and architecture integrate without compromise. The structure plays an important role in facilitating the show of different materials on the facade and in achieving an open floor plan. Most of the columns in the floor area are pushed to the exterior so that interior is open. The facade forms the skin of the building concealing the columns and overall structural system of the building. This facilitates different architectural features in the exterior and interior of the building.

Structural System Overview

Structural system of The Optimus is designed by Leslie E. Robertson Associates (Mumbai). It has been optimized to increase floor space area, to celebrate the architecture and economize the overall cost of the building. In order to achieve these goals, reinforced concrete was chosen as a prime material to design the structural members. The properties of concrete allow fluidity in design. It also facilitates design changes during construction. Concrete is a preferred material over steel for construction in India because it is readily available. Also, the labor for concrete based construction is cheaper as compared to steel The structural system of the building consists of flat slabs supported by columns and shear walls that sit on a mat foundation.

Foundations

The geotechnical investigation report was performed by Shekhar Vaishampayan Geotechnical Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and special care was taken to avoid disturbances to adjacent buildings as the site is tightly surrounded by factories and residential buildings. As the building has two basement floors, the geotechnical investigation included excavation qualities of the site. The quality and the bearing capacity of the soil was determined.

In order to perform the analysis eight boreholes were drilled and soil samples were collected and analyzed. It was discovered that soil properties consisted of filled up soil, medium to stiff clay, weathered rock and highly to slightly weathered tuff. The minimum depth of excavation was determined to be 12.5 m / 41 feet below ground level. The basement raft was decided to be placed 10 m / 33 ft below ground level. Lateral pressures due to soil and water table was determined and basement retaining walls were designed to support these pressures. The ground water table was determined to be present at a depth of 1.00 m / 3.3 ft below ground. This was a conservative figure chosen by the



Figure 5: Test Boring Plan

geotechnical consultant to account for the built of water pressures during heavy monsoon season in the city.

Gravity Framing System



The reinforced concrete framing system of The Optimus is developed to fit different types of floor spaces from the basement to top floor. The column, beam and slab system are chosen to fit with the architecture of the building as well as to act as architectural elements.

Architecture and structural system integration is seen in the columns of the building that change its cross sectional properties and layout as the space progresses from basement to the top of the building. The columns from the basement to the level 5 are rectangular and oriented parallel to the parking spaces. These rectangular columns transition to circular and square columns in office spaces from level 5 to the top level. This transition occurs with the use of transfer girders, columns brackets and adjustments to account for eccentricity in the columns. The columns sizes range from 1.5 ft to 3 ft in width and 1.5 ft to 7 ft in length. Circular columns range from 1.5 ft to 3 ft in diameter in the office areas. the building has a peculiar column with cross section of a parallelogram. This column is located at the entrance of the building and defines the corner of the building from the base to the top adding to the architecture.

Beams integrated with flat slab are present in the parking areas. Transfer girders are present at the fifth level where the floor plan changed from parking to office. Beams are also used to transfer lateral loads from facade to the shear walls. The 8 - 12 inch slabs connect to the columns with drop panels ranging about 8 in additional depth. Drop panels mainly exist at parking spaces and thin drops are added at slabs in office spaces. The slabs also create interaction between the columns and core walls of the building and help distributing gravity loads.

Floor System



Figure 7: ETABS model, 3D view of floor plan.

Floor system of The Optimus typically consist of two-way flat slabs with drop panels. Flat slabs provide a floor to ceiling height of about

10 to 15 feet which provides ample of space for mechanical ducts and electrical wiring. Besides the floor live loads, the flat slabs support facade that is attached to the perimeter of the slabs. The slabs also help transfer lateral loads from the facade to the shear walls around the stairwell and elevator.



Figure 8: Division of floor space area for typical office floor.

The slabs are 8" thick and typical size of drop panel is 4'6"x4'6" x 8". The primary purpose of the drop panel is to reduce deflections and punching shear in 27'6" long spanning slabs. A

secondary purpose is to help the slab increase the moment carrying capacity. However, this is majorly carried by the top and bottom reinforcement. The drop panels are not reinforced which proves that it does not provides minimum support in transferring slab moments to columns.

Slab depths have been increased to



Figure 8: Section of column strip for typical slab

11.5" in fire areas also called refuge areas where there is a higher chance of live load occurring during a fire. The utility areas that house mechanical equipment have thicker slabs to support mechanical and electrical equipments. The slabs in parking spaces have larger drop panels and additional hidden beams to support live load due to vehicles.

Lateral System



The Main Lateral Force Resisting System consists of shear walls present at the core of the building. The shear walls envelope the elevator and stairwell which is the best way to achieve



continuity in the walls from bottom to the top without adding obstructions in the floor area. The walls span from the base to of the building to the roof and range 8 inch to 20 inch thick. The walls connect to each other through the floor slab or link beams to act as a unified system against wind and seismic forces. There are 14 short length walls in the North-South direction and 3 long shear walls in the East-West direction. The shear wall X1 in the East-West direction is a major element that is 47 ft long 16 inch thick supporting the transverse loads. The wall Y1 is a major element in supporting loads due to torsion because the wall is located farthest from the center of rigidity giving a larger moment arm.

Figure 10: Shear walls in 3D extruded view.

Design Codes

As the building is located in India, the Indian Standard (IS) code is used to design The Optimus. However, the American codes are used in this report while performing analysis. This will also provide a comparison between the two codes and also a look into the design from the perspective of the american rules.

• Minimum design loads for Buildings other than seismic loads

IS Code	Description
IS 875 (Part 1): 1987	Dead loads
IS 875 (Part 2): 1987	Imposed loads
IS 875 (Part 3): 1987	Wind loads
IS 875 (Part 5): 1987	Special loads and load combinations

• Seismic Provisions for buildings

IS Code	Description
IS 1893: 2002	Criteria for earthquake resistance design of structure
IS 4326: 1993	Earthquake resistant design and Construction of Buildings - Code of Practice
IS 13920: 1993	Ductile Detailing of Reinforced concrete Structures subjected for Seismic Forces - Code of Practice

• Building code requirements for Structural Concrete:

IS Code	Description
IS 456: 2000	Plain and Reinforced Concrete - Code of practice
SP 16	Structural use of concrete. Design charts for singly reinforced beams, doubly reinforced beams and columns.
SP 34	Handbook on Concrete Reinforcement & Detailing
IS 1904	Indian Standard Code of practice for design and construction foundations in Soil: General Requirements

IS Code	Description
IS 2950	Indian Standard Code of Practice for Design and Construction of Raft Foundation (Part –1)
IS 2974	Code of practice for design & construction of machine foundation
IS 2911	Code of practice for design & construction of Pile foundation (Part I 1o IV)

• Building code used for Structural Steel

IS Code	Description
IS 800: 1984	Code of practice for general construction in Steel

• Design codes to be used for Tech 3

American codes to analyze the existing conditions.

American Code	Description
ACI 318-11	Concrete Design Code
ASCE 7-10	Minimum design loads for Buildings and Structures for Dead, Live, Wind and Seismic loads.

Materials

Materials used on this project help achieve efficiency in the structural system. This is achieved by economizing the use of material with respect to increasing height. Hence, higher strength concrete is used in the shear walls and columns in the lower floors. As we go higher, the material strength decreases.

Use of the material	Indian Code	American Code
	Material	Equivalent Material
Raft and pile foundations	M40	5000 psi
PCC	M15	3000 psi
slabs and beams	M40	5000 psi
Perimeter basement wall except Grid A	M40	5000 psi
Perimeter basement wall on Grid A	M60	7000 psi
Walls, Columns and Link beams from foundation for 5th floor	M60	7000 psi
Walls, Columns and Link beams from 5th floor to above	M40	5000 psi

Concrete					
Indian Code		American Code			
Concrete	f'c (psi)	Ec (ksi)	Equivalent Concrete	f'c	Ec = 57000√f'c
Grade			type		(ksi)
M60	7000	5614.3	High strength concrete 28 days	7000 psi	4768.9
M40	4700	4584.3	Ordinary ready mix	5000 psi	4030.5
M15	1750	2807.2	Ordinary ready mix	3000 psi	3122.01
fck is 28 compressive strength for 150mmx150mm cube.		f'c - specified compressive strength of concrete.			
Poission's ratio = 0.2		Coefficient of thermal expansion = 5.5×10^{-6}			
Coefficient of thermal expansion = $9.9 \times 10-0.6$		per deg F.			
per deg C.		Poissions ratio = 0.2			
Reinforcement					
According to IS: 1786 Fe 415 (Fy = 415 MPa/ 60 ksi) or Fe 500 (Fy = 500 MPa) steel bars are used.		According to ASTM A615, deformed and plain carbon steel bars are used with Fy = 60 ksi.			

Gravity Loads

The dead, superimposed and live loads used on the project are referred to IS Code provisions whereas the report uses ASCE 7-10 provisions to calculate live loads. The superimposed dead loads that are used are provided by the structural engineer because they are loads from actual materials like floor finishes used on the project. The difference in live loads and calculation procedures like Live load reduction will cause difference in analysis results. However, the assumption is that indian code gives conservative results because it accounts for contingencies in construction and materials used on the project. The tables below show the difference in loading values between the IS code and ASCE 7-10 provisions.

• Typical Dead Loads

	IS Code (kN/ m³)	ACI 318-11 / ASCE 7-10 (lb / ft³)
Normal weight Concrete	25.00	150
Floor finishes / Plasters	20.00	140

Loading Area	Type of Load	IS Code (kN/ m²)	ACI 318-11 / ASCE 7-10 (lb / ft²)
	Superimposed Dead Load	1.75	36.6
Parking Space	Live Load (vehicles)	2.50 non-reducible	40 non-reducible
and Drive-way	Live Load (fire truck over ground floor)	15.00 non-reducible	300 (AASHTO LRFD Bridge design standards) - non- reducible
Covered Entryway	Superimposed Dead Load	7.25	151.4
over ground noor	Live Load	4.00	100
Entrance Lobby, Elevator lobbies	Superimposed Dead Load	2.00	41.8
	Live Load	3.00	100
Mechanical Floor	Superimposed Dead Load	2.00	41.8
	Live Load	7.50 Non-reducible	150 non-reducible
Electrical room	Superimposed Dead Load	2.00	41.8
over ground noor	Live Load	13.50 non-reducible	282 non-reducible

Loading Area	Type of Load	IS Code (kN/ m²)	ACI 318-11 / ASCE 7-10 (lb / ft²)
Stairs	Superimposed Dead Load	1.50	31.33
	Live Load	3.00	100
Toilet rooms	Superimposed Dead Load	4.50	94
	Live Load	2.00	40
Typical Office	Superimposed Dead Load	3.00	62.7
	Live Load	4.00	100
Retail over ground	Superimposed Dead Load	4.575	95.6
ПООГ	Live Load	4.00	100
Eatery and Utility	Superimposed Dead Load	3.00	62.7
	Live Load	5.00	100
Outdoor Utility over Level 105,	Superimposed Dead Load	5.625	117.5
107 and similar	Live Load	5.00	100
Planted Terrace	Superimposed Dead Load	12.50	261.1
	Live Load	3.00	100
Amenity / Fitness Center	Superimposed Dead Load	3.50	73.10
	Live Load	5.00	100
Water tank over level 119	Superimposed Dead Load	3.50	73.1
	Live Load	35 non-reducible	731 non-reducible
Electrical Panel room at ground	Superimposed Dead Load	2.00	41.8
TIOOr	Live Load	13.50 non-reducible	282 non-reducible
Roof	Superimposed Dead Load	5.50	114.9
	Live Load	3.00 Non-reducible	100 non-reducible

Loading Area	Type of Load	IS Code (kN/ m²)	ACI 318-11 / ASCE 7-10 (lb / ft²)
Peripheral loads	Superimposed Dead line load over wall surface	0.75	15.7

• Live load reduction

According to IS 875 (part 2) - 1987, section 3.2, live load has been reduced.

IS C	ode	ASCE 7-10
Walls, columns, pier found	s, their supports and ation:	
Number of floors supported	% reduction in total live load	
1	0	
2	10	
3	20	
4	30	
5 to 10	40	
over 10	50	Reduction in live loads is carried out as per
Beams, girde	rs and trusses	the provision in ASCE 7-10 Section 4.7.2/
Supported Area	% reduction in total live load	
less than 50m ²	0	
50m ² to 100 m ²	5	
100m ² to 150 m ²	10	
150m ² to 200 m ²	15	
200m ² to 250m ²	20	
Over 250 m ²	25	

Lateral Loads

Wind and Seismic loads were calculated using ASCE 7-10 provisions. Wind pressures were used to find story forces and seismic base shear and mass of stories was used to find the story force due to seismic loads. The calculations are performed manually in Appendix 1.

Wind Loads

The wind loads were calculated using the ASCE 7-10 Part 1 of the MWFRS Directional Procedure (Chapter 27). This procedure was chosen as appropriate for hand calculations and computer analysis because the building height is greater than 60 ft and is fairly enclosed. The windward, leeward, sidewall and roof pressured were also calculated using the directional procedure.

The basic wind speed (98.4 miles/hour) was determined from the weather data of the existing location in India. The behavior of the wind is dominated by the location of the building that is closer to the sea. This was the reason why exposure category D was chosen for wind pressure coefficient. Other parameters were chosen based on the location, the shape of the building and the simplifications made for ease of calculation. The exterior walls of the building were projected onto East-West and North-South planes and building was simplified to a cuboid. The mean roof height of the building is the distance from the ground to the top of the ceiling of the roof gymnasium, also termed as parapet wall by the architect. The envelope of the roof top gymnasium was termed as parapet walls because it's the part of the building where shear walls don't exist and a separate roof top structure.

The simplification made also affected the calculation of the natural frequency of the building. Consequently, this affect the determinations of gust effects on the building. As the the lateral force resisting system of the building consist of shear wall core, the following formula was used from ASCE 7-10 to calculate the natural frequency of the building.







The net wind pressures were calculated using gust effect factors,

wind load parameters and internal pressures of the building. Wind pressures resulted in higher base shear in the North-South direction as compared to East-West direction which is evident because of the slender shape in the building and higher surface area in the north-south direction. The story forces are collected by the facade which is supported at the perimeter of the floor slab. The floor slab is flat slab assumed to be a rigid diaphragm. The forces from the facade are transferred to the shear walls through the floor slab.



Figure 11: Wind pressure diagram in East-West Direction



Figure 12: Story Force diagram due to wind loads in East-West Direction



Figure 13: Wind pressure diagram in North-South Direction



Figure 14: Story Force diagram due to wind loads in North-South Direction

Seismic loads

The seismic loads were calculated using Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure from ASCE 7-10 Chapter 12.8. In order to calculate seismic base shear, the ground accelerations were not available for the existing location in ASCE 7-10. Therefore, the building was relocated to a location with similar seismic activity - New York. It was determined from USGS seismic world maps that the seismic behavior of New York is similar to the existing location of the building. However, the soil characteristics remained the same that was available in the geotechnical report of the location of the building. As the lateral system of the building is a reinforced shear wall, it falls in Seismic Design Category C according to Table 12.2-1, ASCE 7-10 and Seismic Risk Category I in ASCE 7-10. The approximate time period of the building was calculated to be 1.41 seconds using the following formula provided in ASCE 7-10.

The ground accelerations and time period was used to calculate the seismic design coefficient. This was further used factor the seismic weight of the building to get the effective seismic weight and finally, the seismic base shear of the building. According to ASCE 7-10, the

- Gh	1,405	seconds
G.	0.02	for all structural metama according to table \$2.0.2
× -	0.75	for all soluctural systems according to table 12.8-2
h	289.8	height from base of the structure to the top of shear walls

seismic weight of the building consist of self-weight of members, superimposed dead loads and 25% of the live loads. As the formula for time period does not differ for North-South and East-West directions, the seismic coefficient remains the same and also, the base shear. Conceptually, the building will have a higher time period in the North-South direction of the slender shape and consequently, a lower base shear. However, it would be a conservative approach to use same base shear in both directions. Also, using this conservative approach did not make seismic loads to control the lateral system. Generally, it is assumed that the lateral system tall buildings is controlled by wind loads which was found to be true in the analysis that is explained further in the report.

The seismic base shear was vertically distributed according to the mass of each story and further, distributed horizontally among the shear walls according to the stiffness. The stiffer shear walls attracted greater shear forces. The calculation of horizontal distribution of forces and story drifts for wind and seismic loads are explained and compared further in analysis section of the report.

			Effective seis	smic weight			
Floor	Slab	beams	shear walls	SDL	live loads	façade	Total
1A 2A	2302.0	721.8	491.5	752.4	1541.8	9.7	11638.3
3A	2302.0	721.8	491.5	667.9	1368.7	9.7	5561.5
5	2064.4	1249.3	491.5	1289.0	513.9	9.7	5617.7
6 8	1248.8	194.3	491.5	779.8	1243.7	8.6	7933.3
7	1460.8	194.3	491.5	777.41	325.76	8.6	6516.5
10 12 14 16	1248.8	194.3	491.5	779.8	1243.7	8.6	15866.6
11 13 15 17	1547.7	194.3	491.5	885.6	1412.5	8.6	18160.5
of (level 18)	2064.4	194.3	491.5	1289.0	513.9	9.7	4562.7
			Effect	tive sei	smic wei	ight	75857.1

		Load (PSF or LF)		total	COM			
load distrib	ution	DL (kip)	SDL (kip)	weight (kip)	x	Y		
- A	-	662.56	356.10	1018.67	78.40	18.50		
8		41.75	26.60	68.35	15.35	43.91		
0		89.67	38.09	127.76	17.20	62.07		
0	1	59.82	38.12	97.94	48.80	69.95		
. 1		30.09	9.58	39.67	35.90	51.70		
3 1		11.74	9.98	21.72	53.20	44.80		
5 6		36.49	20.67	57.16	70.85	46.85		
2 1		30.65	29.53	50.18	105.65	40.50		
4 1		16.89	6.62	23.51	133.90	41.40		
1	1.1	32.56	13.83	46.39	131.05	65.17		
		23.97	10.18	34.15	112.92	48.60		
1		112.85	61.29	174.14	169.90	69.82		
N	1	311.76	166.81	478.57	178.50	30.65		
X	1	99.74		99.74	108.10	53.25		
X	2	30.77		30.77	137.70	56.35		
X	5	12.43		12.43	147.70	37.59		
¥1	1	61.90		61.90	6.02	61.85		
¥3	2	12.52		12.52	31.36	58.84		
1 Y.	3	21.01		21.00	31.36	42.05		
8 Y		16.13		16.13	43.17	40.90		
2 Y	5	9.41	1	9.41	43.00	58.88		
5 W	5 E	19.31		19.33	58.78	41.65		
e Y	1.	35.65		35.65	85.29	45.50		
5 12	3	6.89		6.89	96.69	48.25		
- Y)	15.84		15.84	108.17	48.25		
13	0	6.89		6.89	108.99	48.25		
12	1	37.07		\$7.07	119.17	47.05		
13	2	19.39		19.39	128.62	49.80		
13	3	37.26		37.26	132.46	47.10		
13	4	49.24		49.24	144.22	48.70		
1	otal w	reight	2729.65					
	COM	in X dire	tion	100.2	ft.			
1000	COM	I in Y direc	tion	37.3	ft			

		Center of rig	idity at LVL7		
Shear Wall	к	×	Y	%K	K* (x or y)
X1	989	108.10	53.25	69.80	106939.94
X2	305	137.70	56.35	21.52	41996.23
X3	123	147.70	37.59	8.68	18166.55
Y1	614	6.02	61.85	17.78	37965.15
Y2	124	31.36	58.84	3.58	7273.13
Y3	208	31.36	42.05	6.03	8748.23
Y4	160	43.17	40.90	4.62	6524.51
Y5	93	43.00	58.88	2.69	5466.89
Y6	191	58.78	41.65	5.54	7962.35
¥7	353	85.29	45.50	10.24	16081.10
Y8	68	96.69	48.25	1.97	3287.56
Y9	157	108.17	48.25	4.54	7561.39
Y10	68	108.99	48.25	1.97	3287.56
¥11	368	119.17	47.05	10.65	17294.47
Y12	192	128.62	49.80	5.57	9572.77
Y13	369	132.46	47.10	10.70	17399.92
Y14	488	144.22	48.70	14.14	23775.67
Total stiffr	ess in X	1417.25			3
Total stiffr	iess in Y	3452.87			
COR	in X	117.91			
COR	in Y	49.87			8



Figure 15: Story Force diagram for seismic forces in East-West Direction



Figure 15: Story Force diagram for seismic forces in North-South Direction

Analysis of Lateral Loads

The lateral force resisting system of The Optimus was analyzed using the wind and seismic loads. Internal shear forces were determined in shear walls using relative stiffnesses. Also, story drifts were calculated for each story and deflection of the top story was checked. The internal forces and story drifts were also used to determine the controlling loads - wind or seismic. Finally, controlling load was used to determine critical shear wall member that was further checked for shear and overturning moment.

In order to calculate internal forces in shear walls, it was required to calculate the Center of Mass (COM) of the stories and Center of Rigidity (COR) of the shear walls at each story. It is a complex processs to calculate COM and COR for every story of a 17 story building. Therefore, the process was simplified by calculating COM and COR for a typical story (Level 7). This was assumed to be the same for every story in the building.



Figure 16: Typical office floor plan showing Center of Mass (COM) and Center of Rigidity (COR)

The design wind load cases from ASCE 7-10, figure 27.4-8 were used to calculate wind load effects due to shear and torsion. These forces were applied to every wall at level 7 and the horizontal load distribution was determined using wall stiffnesses. The critical wall was the one that had largest stiffness. The critical load case in East-West direction was wind forces in East-West direction without eccentricity. The wind load in this load case, induced maximum shear in the shear wall labelled as X1 which is the largest shear wall in the structure - 47 ft long and 20 inch thick. The wind in North-South direction with a positive eccentricity induces maximum shear in shear wall labelled as Y1 because it is furthest from the center of rigidity providing a large moment arm to resist torsional shear.



The vertical story forces due to seismic loads at Level 7 were used to calculate internal shear forces in walls due to direct shear and shear due to accidental torsion. The walls Y1 in North-South direction and X1 in East-West direction were determined as critical walls similar to wind loads. As the base shear due to wind loads was higher than that due to seismic loads, the wall X1 was checked for shear and overturning moments. The wall X1 has added reinforcement at the ends which resist overturning moments in positive and negative directions. As a spot check, the wall X1 was check for its shear and moment capacity. The shear and moment reinforcement at the boundary elements (the ends of the shear wall) were taken into consideration for a conservative approach. By applying maximum shear, the wall passed in shear and overturning moment and the reinforcement was determined to be adequate.



Figure 18: Cross-section of core wall at the ground level. Shear Wall X1 highlighted.

As a building becomes taller, it becomes more flexible at higher stories. This causes large deflections at higher stories which affect the comfort level of the inhabitants. The ASCE 7-10, Commentary Chapter C mentions that the lateral drift of the building should be in the order of h/600 to h/400. The story drifts were calculated for wind and seismic forces. This calculation was carried out by determining stiffness in shear walls at each story due to unit distributed loads. The unit distributed wind and seismic loads were applied to the stiffness to find story drift. For ease of calculation and to reduce complexity, the cross-section of shear wall was assumed to be consistent from the base of the structure to the roof. The thickness and modulus of elasticity controlled the calculation of stiffness. From the base to level 5, the walls had higher

thickness and higher strength concrete (5000 psi). Thickness in walls decreased after level 5 and 4000 psi concrete was used as material for walls. Using the provisions of ACI 318-11, section 10.10.4.1, un-cracked and cracked modifiers were applied to the gross moment of inertia of the shear walls and drifts were calculated. It was found that the drift due to wind loads in a cracked section was maximum - 10.2 inches at the roof of the building. Although this value is higher than the drift limit in ASCE 7-10 - h/400 = 8.67 in, it can be termed as an overestimated value. The reason for overestimation of story drift is that additional stiffness at lower stories was disregarded as well as the stiffness offered by the large column at the lower stories was disregarded for ease of calculation. Hence, additional stiffness due to columns and additional shear walls in the base would help reduce the deflection at the roof.

		Staty drifts	in Kand Y dies	tilions for Selar	wit Lands	- 10 C	
Level	height	Ka (kip/Sn)	ey	ia.	Fy	delits a	648xy
3.6	20	1888.90	8362.43	0.01	6.85	1.0690	6.6900
24.	30	643.11	564.48	0.02	0.82	2.5090	6.8800
34	40	281.93	294.90	0.04	0.04	0.0001	0.0002
5	37	72.91	45.17	0.05	0.05	8.8807	0.0010
6	70	30.13	16.30	0.07	0.07	0.0622	0.0037
7	83	16.20	9.37	D.08	0.04	0.0046	8,0063
8	96	9.58	5.27	0.12	0.52	0.0124	8.8340
9	109	5.89	3.39	D.14	8.34	6.8230	8.8434
39	12.8	8.63	2.84	0.21	0.25	0.0539	0.3814
31	1.86	2.60	5.86	0.29	8.29	0.3116	62136
12	147	3.82	0.54	0.50	0.55	0.3675	0.5226
3.9	362	3.25	0.87	0.40	0.41	0.9153	0.0115
34	175	0.97	0.49	0.42	0.42	0.4356	0.8517
35	386	0.73	0.37	0.56	0.54	0.7640	1.5822
35	360	0.56	0.26	0.56	0.56	8.3961	1.5645
12	314	0.44	0.32	0.72	8.32	14581	3,3417
Roaf (aset 120)	229.36	0.34	0.17	0.76	6.78	2.2792	4.5247

Stor	y drifts	due to	Wind loads	s for un-	cracked wall	ls

(street)	height	Ka-Jkip/Saj	14	Fat	Py	delts x	6483.7
34	20	5888.90	3562.43	0.60	0.24	0.0003	0.0681
24	30	643.11	564.48	0.50	0.20	0.0008	0.0884
34	. 43	281.55	294.60	0.70	0.25	0.0025	0.0013
3	37	72.91	48.17	0.48	0.19	0.0005	0.0839
6	20	30.13	18.30	0.55	0.21	0.0142	0.0116
7	4.1	16.28	0.37	0.56	0.22	0.0342	0.0231
	96	0.58	5.37	0.57	0.22	0.6596	0.0455
	108	5.88	3.19	0.57	0.22	-0.0176	0.0687
10	123	1.83	2.04	0.58	0.22	0.1515	0.1382
11	188	2.63	1.95	0.59	0.28	0.2260	0.1665
12	149	1.82	0.94	0.59	0.25	0.1259	0.2424
15	162	3.31	4.67	0.60	0.25	0.4564	0.5420
34	175	0.97	0.49	0.60	0.25	0.6255	0.4855
15	158	0.73	4.37	0.61	0.25	0.6337	0.6350
16	195	0.56	0.26	0.61	0.24	1.0839	0.8350
13	214	0.44	0.22	0.68	0.26	1.4526	1.1065
(dist investment	329.29	0.34	6.17	1.76	0.74	5.1550	4.3047

Story drifts due to Seismic loads for un-cracked walls

	500	ry drifts in X and	CY directions	Ter Seisr	ic Loads		
Level	height	Rx(kip/in)	Ky	Fx	Py	elette x	datay
58.	20	1470.18	2157.23	0.01	0.0t	0.0000	0.0000
24	30	452.53	322.63	0.02	0.02	0.0001	0.0005
34	40	185.55	111.32	0.04	0.04	0.0002	0.0004
5	57	45.58	25.21	0.05	0.05	0.0011	0.0013
4	20	17.30	9.44	0.07	0.07	0.0038	0.0011
7	- 83	8.96	4.79	0.08	80.0	0.0087	0.0963
- 1	95	5.32	2.68	0.13	0.13	0.0249	0.0475
9	109	2.12	1.61	0.14	0.14	0.0433	0.0677
30	123	2.65	1.03	0.21	0.21	0.1027	0.2009
30	136	1.95	0.69	0.25	0.23	0.2146	0.4223
12	149	0.94	0.48	0.30	0.30	0.3240	0.6406
23	162	0.87	0.54	0.41	0.41	0.6126	1,2962
24	175	0.50	0.25	0.42	0.42	0.8567	1.6940
25	188	0.17	0.19	0.58	0.56	1.4975	2.9909
38	201	0.28	D.14	0.55	0.56	1.9554	3 5 1 38
Ð	314	0.22	0.11	0.72	0.72	3.2622	6.5407
Roof (level 18)	228.28	0.17	0.09	0.78	0.78	4,4926	9.021

Story drifts due to Wind loads for cracked walls

		Story drift	Story drifts in it and Y directions for Wind Loads							
Level	height	Rx (kip/in)	Ry	Tx.	74	delta s	deltay			
1.4.	20	1470.18	2157.23	0.60	0.24	0.0004	0.0001			
2A.	30	462.53	322.63	0.50	0.20	0.0001	0.0006			
24	40	105.55	111.32	0.70	0.28	0.0008	0.0025			
5	57	43.38	25.21	0.48	0.19	0.0110	0.0074			
6	- 70	17.10	9.44	0.55	0.23	0.0321	0.0226			
7	- 83	8.96	4.79	0.56	0.22	0.0623	0.0452			
B	96	5.11	2.68	0.57	0.22	0.3307	0.0818			
9	109	1.12	1.61	0.57	0.22	0.1837	0.1175			
10	123	2.01	1.03	0.58	0.22	0.2888	0.2181			
11	136	1.35	0.69	0.59	0.23	0.4345	0.3302			
12	£49	0.94	0.48	0.59	0.23	0.6305	0.4813			
13	162	D.67	0.54	0.60	0.23	0.2274	0.6758			
- 24	175	0.50	0.3%	0.60	0.23	1,3170	0.9348			
15	188	0.57	0.19	0.61	0.25	1.6324	1,2564			
. 16	201	D.28	0.14	0.61	0.24	2.3475	1.6555			
17	214	0.22	0.11	0.63	0.24	2 8574	2.2054			
pof (Invol 18)	228.28	D.17	0.09	1.76	0.74	10.1611	8.5833			

Story drifts due to Seismic loads for cracked walls

Finite Element Model



Figure 20: 3d view of ETABS Model of The Optimus: Entire structural system, shear walls, beams and and shear walls

A finite element model of the building was made using ETABS. The model was intended to be used for finding more accurate values for internal forces and story drifts. Accuracy in results is achieved by modeling every structural element of the building in ETABS with precision. Although, the entire building was modeled in ETABS, it became complex enough to fix the errors that gave skewed results.

Although modeling every structural element in the building is important for accurate results, it is also important to keep the model relatively simple. Making appropriate simplifications in the model helps in faster run time, easier debugging and reliable results. In the ETABS model, the beams were modeled as line elements, floors, shear walls were modeled as shell elements. A 48 inch mesh size was chosen to auto-mesh the floors and shear walls. It is anticipated that the complications in the computer model was caused because of the use of auto-mesh for shell elements like floor slabs and shear walls. Using manual meshing would have ensured proper alignment of the every node which can be an in issue while using automatic meshing. Hence, simplifications in the model will result in a reasonable model with accurate results. For further analysis it is planned that the floors will be modeled as rigid-diaphragm membrane elements. By using rigid-diaphragm membrane elements, modeling program will disregard the effects of out-of-plane forces in floor diaphragms. This will help in reducing complexity of the model without much affect on results due to lateral forces. These simplifications help in saving modeling time while performing a schematic-level analysis on a building structure where the behavior of a structure is more of a concern than getting accurate results. In order to attain, accurate and precise results which are more useful at the design-development stage; manual meshing of shell elements is required.

Conclusion

Thorough calculations of the effects of wind and seismic forces on The Optimus have resulted in conclusion that the lateral force resisting system is sufficient to carry the lateral loads at it's existing location. The internal forces due to direct shear and torsional forces reveal that the long shear wall at the core, spanning East-West and the shear wall at the East facade were critical elements. The largest shear wall out of these two was checked for shear and overturning moment and it found to be adequate in carrying the required shear and overturning moments.

It was found that the wind loads controlled the design of the lateral force resisting elements. The reason for wind controlling lateral system members is that it induces higher base shear and story drifts as compared to that due to seismic loads. The drifts were calculated for cracked and un-cracked wall sections and it was found that the drifts at the top story were slightly above the limiting deflection in ASCE 7-10. It was found that the higher deflection was attained because of the assumption of using same shear wall layout from the base to the top to reduce complexity in calculation. If the additional stiffness at the bottom stories were taken into consideration, then the deflection would fall under the limiting drift as specified in ASCE 7-10.

Although the computer model was useful in acquiring the results due to modeling errors, it was found that simplifications in modeling elements like the floors and walls would help in reducing complexity and achieving better and accurate results.

Appendix 1: Wind Loads



December 2, 2012



Punit 6 Das Wind load Calculations Page 2 Teh 1 Using Directional procedure for buildings of all heights for Wind load for MWERS. - Method specified in ASCE-7-10 Chapter 27. Fallowing steps from Table 27.2-1, ASCE 7-10. (1) Risk Category of building -> Table 1.5 1 (hepler 1) -> II Failure of Building what a rest to human life but does not to fall into category III and IV. COMILY (2) · Basic whole speed - > V= 44 m/s or 1 This information is ac supplied by the structural ongineer and is it is the inter teken from the wind data I in indian code. · Exponere Category -> # D (Section 26.7.3) The mean not height is greater than 30 ft and the ground mirfare roughnors the conform to exposure adagony D. because the building is close to the sec. · Topographic fector -> xt 0.85 Kzz = 1.0 (Section 26.8.) The site topography is relatively flat and does not have hills or excarpments. · Wind directionality father -> Kg=0.85 Section 26.61 Table 26.



Wind load adaptions 6 Same? · Enclosure Classification - Enclosed building because all the wind premure is applied on the currtain wall that endores the entire building. · Internal premure coefficient -> GCp = 1 0.18 (from ASCE 7-10, table 26.11-2) 40236 (4) Velocity premure apenure welt went COMET Height above ground → 252.6ft
 Pxponume → D From Table 26:9-1, Zg = 700 ft, Z=252.6 ft 19A < Z < 700 Pt · S? Kz = 2.01 (z/zz)2/d d=11.5 (5) · Velocity Premure 9/2 = 0.00256 Kz Kz K V2 (kepr to 9/2 = 0.00256 Kz Kz K V2 Oxcel sheet for calculater (External premure califorients Nort Pege



Main V	Vied For	in Reals	las See	an - Par			_	-		II.com		-
Figure	27.4-1 (c)	stant.)	Estors	and Property	ere Co	efficients,	6	-		in the second		-
Eacles	ol, Parile	ally Each	and Bai	Mings	_	_	1		Walls a	& Re	ofs	
F				Wall P		Coefficie	sts, C ₂		-			3
- H	54	erface	\rightarrow		./8	-		-	- 0	e Wit	-	
F	114 144		+		vanets b-1	-	-16	6	-		-	
	ervard 1	Nati	F	(1)	-	6)		*		
5	ide Wall			AL	values		(0.	5		4		
-	-		Roof	Tressere (Cortfle	leats, Carl	for use	with the	-	-	-	-
1.22				v	ibed wa	ent					Leewar	d.
Wind Direction			Angle		(mm)	1			Ang	e, Ø jake	(prec)	
_	R.	14	18	30	38	34	38	8	2484	18	15	28
Normal	18.22	-5.18	110	62	43	11	0.4	0.4	0.01.0	43	-0.5	-4.6
ridge for	0.5	-4.18	-0.18	6.0*	11	171	- 11	44	0.01.0	-85	-8.5	-44
42.00	21.0	4.18	4.18	-0.18	0.01	40	-9.2	0.0	681.0	-8.7	-0.6	-0.6
Normal		illiarie e wiedw	fistance and edge	from		Cp	Parpe	ne in pro main.	vided for	anterpo	interest of	
states for 0 < 10	\$1.5	鬱	1				****	fact care i which it	is splice	l linta Maraa	ly with follows	
Parallel		Coul	Civ.		10	10,411		lens (sq	A)	Rether	tion Fr	stor
for all b		60	5		12	1.410		130	4.00	-	10	_
Name		0	~		- 12		(CT	001204	HEL	-	0.8	-
Linear corricci corrico corricci corricci corricci corricci corricci corricci corr	interpola- interpola- lation pu- lation pu- tono salu- trino pu- subite teal in Figure in resented a resented a resented a resented a second b ght above at efficient of pla- terior pla- terior pla- terior pla- terior pla- terior pla- terior pla- terior pla- terior pla- terior platerior pla- d platerior pl	atom in pro- recent value approach, and of the marks, and bits of the marks, and bits of the marks, and approach the the the the the the the th	in or the are found of the road of the road of the road of build of build o	Re values same sign (dis. ind) (dis. ind) (disatation rate shall and figur (disatation) (disata	of 7.00 , Who subset 0 subset 0 other observe v 27.6 d (method of fact of subset of su	All and P re no value at the wine e designed corride on interface interface interface r1, resease r1, r1, r1, r1, r1, r1, r1, r1, r1, r1,	of article in order of the of the of the of the body of the body o	an dens anne sig or fisioge k conditi on C, ve rand suit \$55.4. I di to wit r used fi i di to wit r used fi i di to pi office di office di we, the tax	 in press in press<	entral as one of the other of t	intell o we did t inter p in for	niy be be coalite

	E-W wind	N-S wind
Windward (Use with q _z)	0.8	0.8
Leeward (Use with q _h)	-0.28	-0.5
Sidewall (Use with q _h)	-0.7	-0.7
Roof (Use with q _h) (0 ft to h/2 ft)	-1.04, -0.18	-1.04, -0.18
Roof (Use with q _h) (>h/2 ft)	-0.56, -0.18	



	Velocity pressu	re Calculation	1
Story	Elevation (ft)	Kr	q _r (lb/ft ²)
Ground	0.00	1.03	21.7
1A	20.34	1.09	22.9
2A	30.18	1.16	24.5
3A	40.03	1.22	25.7
5	56.92	1.30	27.4
6	70.05	1.35	28.4
7	83.17	1.39	29.2
8	96.29	1.42	30.0
9	109.42	1.46	30.7
10	122.54	1.48	31.3
11	135.66	1.51	31.8
12	148.79	1.54	32.4
13	161.91	1.56	32.8
14	175.03	1.58	33.3
15	188.16	1.60	33.7
16	201.28	1.62	34.1
17	214.40	1.64	34.5
Roof (level 18)	228.28	1.65	34.9
Parapet Lvl 19	240.09	1.67	35.2
PH parapet	252.62	1.68	35.5
h =	240.09		
K _b .	1.67		
0	75 71		



Area of base	AB=	20557.6		structural hei	ght	hn = (ft)	228.3	2
Shear Wall name	Area	Area (ft^2)	Height	height ft (hi)	-Britt	Di		Σ
X1	A1	61.57	h1	228.3	D1	47	2.9912054	-
X2	A2	19.00	h2	228.30	D2	14.50	0.09	3.09
X3	A3	7.68	h3	228.30	D3	7.80	0.01	
¥1	A4	38.21	h4	228.30	D4	23.30	0.47	
¥2	A5	7.73	h5	228.30	D5	5.90	0.01	
¥3	A6	12.97	h6	228.30	D6	9.90	0.03	
¥4	A7	9.96	h7	228.30	D7	7.60	0.01	
Y5	A8	5.81	h8	228.30	D8	5.90	0.00	
¥6	A9	11.92	h9	228.30	D9	9.10	0.02	
¥7	A10	22.01	h10	228.30	D10	16.80	0.14	1 67
¥8	A11	4.25	h11	228.30	D11	8.50	0.01	1.5/
¥9	A12	9.78	h12	228.30	D12	8.50	0.02	
Y10	A13	4.25	h13	228.30	D13	8.50	0.01	
Y11	A14	22.89	h14	228.30	D14	19.90	0.21	
¥12	A15	11.97	h15	228.30	D15	14.60	0.06	
Y13	A16	23.00	h16	228.30	D16	20.00	0.21	
Y14	A17	30.39	h17	228.30	D17	23.20	0.37	
E-W direction	Ta=	3.54	seconds	N-S Direction	Ta=	4.96	seconds	
	Cw	0.015			Cw	0.008		-

			N-S Directio	on			
			Windward pressu	re Cp =0.8		30	
Level	Elevation (ft)	a. (lb/ft²)	Wind pressure	internal	pressure	Net pressure	Net pressure
			(q+G ₁ +C _p)	+Gcpi*qi	-Gcpi*qi	(+)	()
Ground	0.00	21.7	14.3	13.6	-13.6	27.9	0.6
1A	20.34	22.9	15.0	13.6	-13.6	28.7	1.4
2A	30.18	24.5	16.1	13.6	-13.6	29.7	2.5
3A	40.03	25.7	16.9	13.6	-13.6	30.5	3.3
5	56.92	27.4	18.0	13.6	-13.6	31.6	4.4
6	70.05	28.4	18.6	13.6	-13.6	32.3	5.0
7	83.17	29.2	19.2	13.6	-13.6	32.8	5.6
8	96.29	30.0	19.7	13.6	-13.6	33.3	6.1
9	109.42	30.7	20.1	13.6	-13.6	33.8	6.5
10	122.54	31.3	20.5	13.6	-13.6	34.2	6.9
11	135.66	31.8	20.9	13.6	-13.6	34.5	7.3
12	148.79	32.4	21.2	13.6	-13.6	34.9	7.6
13	161.91	32.8	21.6	13.6	-13.6	35.2	7.9
14	175.03	33.3	21.9	13.6	-13.6	35.5	8.2
15	188.16	33.7	22.1	13.6	-13.6	35.8	8.5
16	201.28	34.1	22.4	13.6	-13.6	36.0	8.8
17	214.40	34.5	22.6	13.6	-13.6	36.3	9.0
Roof (level 18)	228.28	34.9	22.9	13.6	-13.6	36.5	9.3
Parapet Lvl 19	240.09	35.2	52.7		1.44	52.7	
PH parapet	252.62	35.5	53.2	-		53.2	
			Leeward pressure	Cp =-0.5			
			-	internal	pressure		
Level	Elevation (ft)	q ₂ (lb/ft ²)	(q*G _t *C _p)	+Gcpi*qi	-Gcpi*qi	- Net pressure (+)	Net pressure (-)
All	240.09	75.7	-31.1	13.6	-13.6	-17.5	-44.7
Parapet (level 19 and top)	252.62	35.5	-35.5	-	1.4	-35.5	
			Side wall pressure	Cp =-0.7			
Level	Elevation (ft)	a /11-/1621	Wind pressure	internal	pressure	Net pressure	Net pressure
Leve:	Developining	d' (ip)ir)	(q*G,*C _p)	+Gcpi*qi	-Gcpi*qi	(+)	(-)
All	240.09	75.7	-43.5	13.6	-13.6	-29.9	-57.1
		R	oof Pressures Cp=-	1.04, -0.18			
Level	Elevation (ft)	q, (lb/ft ²)	Wind pressure (q*G,*C,)	internal +Gcpi*qi	pressure -Gcpi*qi	Net pressure (+)	Net pressure (-)
0 to h/2 (Cp=- 1.04)	240.09	75.7	-64.7	13.6	-13.6	-51.0	-78.3
0 to h/2 (Cp=- 0.18)	240.09	75.7	-11.2	13.6	-13.6	2.4	-24.8

			E-W Directi	on			
		1	Windward pressu	re Cp =0.8			
				internal	pressure		
Level	Elevation (ft)	q, (lb/ft²)	Wind pressure (q*G,*C,)	+Gcpi*qi	-Gcpi*qi	Net pressure (+)	Net pressure (-)
Ground	0.00	21.7	11.6	13.6	-13.6	25.3	-2.0
1A	20.34	22.9	12.3	13.6	-13.6	25.9	-1.3
2A	30.18	24.5	13.2	13.6	-13.6	26.8	-0.5
3A	40.03	25.7	13.8	13.6	-13.6	27.4	0.2
5	56.92	27.4	14.7	13.6	-13.6	28.3	1.1
6	70.05	28.4	15.2	13.6	-13.6	28.9	1.6
7	83.17	29.2	15.7	13.6	-13.6	29.3	2.1
8	96.29	30.0	16.1	13.6	-13.6	29.7	2.5
9	109.42	30.7	16.5	13.6	-13.6	30.1	2.8
10	122.54	31.3	16.8	13.6	-13.6	30.4	3.2
11	135.66	31.8	17.1	13.6	-13.6	30.7	3.5
12	148.79	32.4	17.4	13.6	-13.6	31.0	3.7
13	161.91	32.8	17.6	13.6	-13.6	31.3	4.0
14	175.03	33.3	17.9	13.6	-13.6	31.5	4.2
15	188.16	33.7	18.1	13.6	-13.6	31.7	4.5
16	201.28	34.1	18.3	13.6	-13.6	31.9	4.7
17	214.40	34.5	18.5	13.6	-13.6	32.1	4.9
Roof (level 18)	228.28	34.9	18.7	13.6	-13.6	32.3	5.1
Parapet Lvl 19	240.09	35.2	52.7	1.0		52.7	
PH parapet	252.62	35.5	53.2	10.00		53.2	
		_	eeward pressure	Cp =-0.28			
Level	Elevation (ft)	a. (lb/ft ²)	Wind pressure	internal	pressure	Net pressure	Net pressure
100000000	100 83 800 X 97 85		(q*G,*C,)	+Gcpi*qi	-Gcpi*qi	(+)	(-)
All	240.09	35.2	-45.1	6.3	-6.3	-38.8	-51.4
Parapet (level 19 and top)	252.62	35.5	-35.5			-35.5	
			Side wall pressure	Cp=-0.7			
			Wind pressure	internal	pressure	National	No.
Level	Elevation (ft)	q, (lb/ft²)	(q*G,*C,)	+Gcpi*qi	-Gcpi*qi	(+)	(-)
All	240.09	75.7	-35.6	13.6	-13.6	-21.9	-49.2
		R	oof Pressures Cp=-	0.56, -0.18			
			Wind processes	internal	pressure		
Level	Elevation (ft)	q, (lb/ft²)	(q*G,*C,)	+Gcpi*qi	-Gcpi*qi	(+)	(-)
0 to h/2 (Cp≕- 1.04)	240.09	75.7	-52.8	13.6	-13.6	-39.2	-66.5
0 to h/2 (Cp=- 0.18)	240.1	75.7	-52.8	13.6	-13.6	-39.2	-66.5
>h/2 (Cp=- 0.56)	240.09	75.7	-52.8	13.6	-13.6	-39.2	-66.5
>h/2 (Cp=- 0.18)	240.09	75.7	-52.8	13.6	-13.6	-39.2	-66.5

			N-S Direction			
		Story For	e due to Windwa	ard pressure		
Level	Elevation (ft)	Net Wind pressure (psf)	Area below (ft ²)	Area above (ft²)	Tributary area	Story shear (kip)
Ground	0.00	27.9	0.0	2072.8	2072.8	57.8
1A	20.34	28.7	2072.8	1003.0	3075.7	88.2
2A	30.18	29.7	1003.0	1003.0	2005.9	59.6
3A	40.03	30.5	1003.0	1721.7	2724.7	83.2
5	56.92	31.6	1721.7	1337.3	3059.0	96.7
6	70.05	32.3	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	86.3
7	83.17	32.8	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	87.8
8	96.29	33.3	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	89.1
9	109.42	33.8	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	90.3
10	122.54	34.2	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	91.4
11	135.66	34.5	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	92.4
12	148.79	34.9	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	93.3
13	161.91	35.2	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	94.1
14	175.03	35.5	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	94.9
15	188.16	35.8	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	95.7
16	201.28	36.0	1337.3	1337.3	2674.5	96.3
17	214.40	36.3	1337.3	1414.2	2751.4	99.8
Roof (level 18)	228.28	36.5	1414.2	1203.5	2617.7	95.6
Parapet Lvl 19	240.09	52.7	1203.5	1277.09	2480.64	130.8
PH parapet	252.62	53.2	1277.1	0	1277.09	67.9
					Base Shear	1791.3
		Story For	ces due to Leewa	rd pressure		
Level	Elevation (ft)	Net Wind pressure (psf)	Tributary area	Story shear (kip)		
All	240	45	2674.5	120		
Parapet (level 19 and top)	252.6	35.5	2610.6	92.6	Base Shear	212.2

			E-W Direction			
		Story For	ce due to Windwa	ard pressure		
Level	Elevation (ft)	Net Wind pressure (psf)	Area below (ft²)	Area above (ft²)	Tributary area	Story shear (kip)
Ground	0.00	25.3	0.0	900.7	900.7	22.8
1A	20.34	25.9	900.7	435.8	1336.5	34.6
2A	30.18	26.8	435.8	435.8	871.7	23.3
3A	40.03	27.4	435.8	748.2	1184.0	32.5
5	56.92	28.3	748.2	581.1	1329.3	37.6
6	70.05	28.9	581.1	581.1	1162.2	33.5
7	83.17	29.3	581.1	581.1	1162.2	34.1
8	96.29	29.7	581.1	581.1	1162.2	34.5
9	109.42	30.1	581.1	581.1	1162.2	35.0
10	122.54	30.4	581.1	581.1	1162.2	35.4
11	135.66	30.7	581.1	581.1	1162.2	35.7
12	148.79	31.0	581.1	581.1	1162.2	36.0
13	161.91	31.3	581.1	581.1	1162.2	36.3
14	175.03	31.5	581.1	581.1	1162.2	36.6
15	188.16	31.7	581.1	581.1	1162.2	36.9
16	201.28	31.9	581.1	581.1	1162.2	37.1
17	214.40	32.1	581.1	614.5	1195.6	38.4
Roof (level 18)	228.28	32.3	614.5	523.0	1137.5	36.8
Parapet Lvl 19	240.09	52.7	523.0	554.95	1077.94	56.8
PH parapet	252.62	53.2	555.0	0	554.95	29.5
3					Base Shear	703.6
		Story For	ces due to Leewa	rd pressure		
Level	Elevation (ft)	Net Wind pressure (psf)	Tributary area	Story shear (kip)		
All	240	51	1162	60		
Parapet (level 19 and top)	252.6	35.5	1109.9	39.4	Base Shear	99.1

Punit G. Das | Structural

Technical Report 3

Total Kford	reit shear	362.87		Misshaund Ereca-	87.82		tanged -	138.58	
Shear Wall	dB	Ki (kip/lin)	Ki di' pap Rij		,	Moment	Dive.t	Torsional disar	Total Sheer
8	3.35	98%27	15049				6.00	4.82	0.82
×3	5.79	504.98	50224	1 1			6.00	0.44	0.44
83	-11.06	123.00	20088	1			6.00	-0.40	-0.40
43	-015.90	46.5.85	7484779	1 1			-34.47	-36.96	-55.85
43	-38.56	123.40	926047	1			-7.42	-2.64	-1013
4.9	-05.55	208.14	15049133	1 1			-12.50	-6.45	-25.94
74	-74.75	159.52	811228	1			-6.58	-2.94	-12.51
42	-M.IS	92.85	308725	1			-5.58	4.81	-3.29
76	-39.34	191.17	648532	54868314	203.4	17.7	-01.40	-2.39	-14.27
47	-60.50	153,48	1417277	1			-32.23	-5.50	-26.75
72	-15.32	08.14	109442	1			-4.09	-0.36	-4.45
TP.	-9.75	156.71	24903	1			-8.45	-0.18	-9.79
910	1.72	08.14	200				-4.09	6.08	-4.09
911	12.79	367.58	60130	1			-12.08	1.36	-210.82
912	22.25	192.22	95120				-11.55	1.06	-10.49
92.8	26.24	399.41	352834	1			-22.19	2.19	-49.80
924	38.00	488.21	704871				-28.32	4.58	-24.76
								Sides share	

Total K for d	inect shear	3417.2		Windows' fumu -	34.1	2	Same-	58.3	
Stear Wall	48	Ki (kip/in)	KL d' (Kip R()		,	Mament	Direit Shear	Torsional shear	Total Shear
R.	1.18	985.27	15049				45.51	0.26	45.25
32	5.79	304.98	10234				-20.20	0.34	-24.08
KB.	-45.06	123.00	20488	1 1			-8.15	-0.95	-8.27
93	431.84	463.83	3686779				0.00	-0.48	-5.48
45	-86.56	323.40	926047	1			0.00	-0.85	-6.85
43	-46.56	306.04	150 dm 1 3				0.00	-1.44	-5.44
94	-74.75	159.52	011230	1			0.00	-0.95	-8.98
75	-74.75	92.85	308725				0.00	-0.55	-0.55
76	-59.54	290.17	66(8522	540002334	91.9	12.63	0.00	-0.90	-0.90
43	-63,33	353,43	3457277	1			0.00	-1.78	-6.78
72	-11.22	68.14	20662	1			0.00	12.0-	-0.12
79	-675	1346.75	14903				0.00	-0.52	-0.12
910	1.72	68.14	200	1			0.00	0.05	6.01
910	\$2,79	367.58	00130				0.00	0.87	0.87
912	22.25	290.22	95120				0.00	0.34	0.34
928	26.55	- 366.43	353854				0.00	0.77	0.77
114	18.00	488.25	704873				0.00	1.48	1.48
							-	Max shear	-85.2

Tutal K for d	rea shear	9492.87		84-	208.90	- 81	-	91.25	
Shear Wall	dR	Ki (kip/m)	NI diffete	100.00	79N.P	moment arm	Direct	Torstonal shear	total shear
8]	3.15	-189.27	33040				6.00	1.40	1.49
#2	5.79	304.98	50234	1 1			6.00	0.90	0.80
83	-15.06	123.00	20688	1			6.00	-0.82	-0.82
*1	-011.00	613.83	7686379	1			-12,65	-853.7	+1.82
92	-35.56	123.46	936047	1 1			-5.87	-5.48	-41.48
7.5	-36.56	208.06	1358613	1			-9.12	-6.23	-08.99
7.6	-74.75	139.52	811228	1			-2.29	-6.13	-13.29
75	-76.75	62.85	518725	1			-4.58	-0.55	-3.24
76	-39.54	205.17	448522	54868334	155.5	48.945	-8.45	-5.79	-04.40
77	-60.33	1212-43	14173177				-15.92	-01.46	-27.08
78	-21.32	48.54	30662	1			-8.07	-0.74	-5.82
TÚ	-9.75	136.71	54653	1 1			-7.06	-0.78	-2.04
720	1.72	18.54	200	i I			-8.07	0.06	-8.05
721	12.79	367.58	00530				-18.36	2.41	-04.55
712	22.25	592.32	95520	1			-8.65	2.59	-6.47
728	28.26	209,40	252834				-16.64	4.95	-51.69
754	38.00	488.23	204973	1			-25.99	9.50	-52.49
								Max shear	-42.8

			Care 2	North-South	Wind - ec	and ridly			
Tetal K for d	rea shear	9452.87		89-	208.30	R	+	-31.25	
Shear Wall	dR	Ki (Ne/M	NI 48'(trip (1)	10.4'06 10	79N.P	moment arm	Direct	Torsional shear	total shear
82	1.15	989.27	221009				6.00	-6.47	-0.40
#2	5.79	8(4.98	50034	1 1			6.00	-625	-0.25
83	-13.06	\$23.00	20988	1 1			6.00	0.25	0.23
- F	-515.95	#13.80	2686779				-27.68	9.75	-17.62
¥2	-36.56	123.41	936047	1 1			-5.57	1.52	-4.15
93	-86.56	208.04	1358613	1 1			-9.37	2.55	本版
9.6	-74.75	194.92	861228	1			-7.19	1.60	-5.50
75	-74.75	92.85	518725	1 1			-458	0.98	-3.20
TO	-50.34	191.17	468522	14868314	135.5	-15345	-8.62	1.60	-3.45
77	-66.33	353.48	1417077				-15.92	3.37	-0.1.75
YS	-25.32	68.54	30662				-3.07	0.20	-3.86
70	4.75	236.75	- 3460.5	1			-2.08	0.32	-6.24
420	5.72	48.54	300	1			-8.02	-6.03	-3.09
922	12.79	367.58	60530				1414.36	-0.87	-41.23
72.2	22.15	592.32	85530				-8.66	-6.4C	-6.27
723	25.26	304.40	252834	1			-16.64	-4.37	-5/8/15
754	38.00	488.11	204912				-12.99	-2.63	-24.62
								Max shear	-36.6

Pres Well		1 1011 122		Ed-	28,54	n n	-	13.29	
	48	KI (Mp/M)	10 4/310	101179-10	75N.P	moment	Direct	Torstonal shear	total shee
85	1.15	585.27	15040				46.54	0.45	48.75
10	5.75	314.98	51224	1			-65.55	0.22	44.90
X3	-11.06	123.00	20088	1			-613	-0.20	4.11
¥5	415.60	40.5.85	3986739	1			0.00	-8.45	-8.45
72	-86.56	125.40	926047	1			0.00	-4.38	4.8
¥3.	48.56	208.04	1508611	1			0.00	-2.21	-2.25
74	-74.75	159.52	891228	1			0.00	-2.46	-1.46
75	(14.7)	91.85	308725	1			0.00	-0.85	-0.85
75	-59.54	181.5F	6485.12	14040324	30.4	15.954	0.00	-1.39	-1.19
77	+0.33	355.48	3401277	1			0.00	-2.75	-2.79
72	-35.32	6814	30662	1			0.00	-0.18	-0.18
TP	-9.75	156.71	14903	1			0.00	-0.19	-0.19
920	1.72	4814	200				0.00	0.05	8.03
910	12.79	367.58	4013.80	1 1			0.00	0.58	0.58
952	22.25	192.22	16120	1			0.00	4.52	0.52
928	26.26	369.45	15,2804	1 1			0.00	2.29	5.29
114	38.00	486,21	704671	1			0.00	2.28	2.28
								Max shaar	-48.7
			Case 2	fact West 1	-	-			
Total K for d	inest shear	3417.25	Case 2	feet West 1	Read - ecce	etridiy R		-13.29	
Total K for d Shear Wall	d R	3417.25 KI (kip/in)	Core 2 Ni d'(1/2	Tank Want W	8554 755.P	R R mismael	a Direct shear	-13.28 Tornional there	total shee
Total X for d Sheer Wall	d R	3417.35 Ki (kip/in)	6000 2 Ni di ¹ Driv Ni	Test lives to Test	81.54 73N.P	R R momari arm	er Direct shaar	-13.29 Torsional shear	total shee
Total X for d Shear Wall	d R	3417.35 Ki (kip/in) 989.20	Cese 2 Ni di ¹ (trip 10 12000	Daniel fer best Dan Interf (sign fr)	81.54 795.7	n R nomet em	EDirect shaper -42.54	-13.29 Torstand shar	total shee
Total X for d Shear Wall	dR 1.15 5.79	3417.35 Ki (kip/w) 985.27 SIA18	Cere 2 No diffeto NO 10000 10224	Dank for our to Dan Interface to	81.56 75%.P	R R mismaet am		-13.29 Torstand shaar 6.42 6.22	total sheet -45.71 -14.90
Total X For d Shear Wall X X X X X	4R 1.15 5.79 11.06	3417.35 KI (Mp/He) MB9.27 S14.18 121.18 44.1.01	Cene 2 No d ⁴⁷ (trip 10 10000 10224 20000 20000 20000 20000 20000	The local division of	PESS PESS 75% P	n n normet em	0 Direct shaar 49.54 45.55 45.55 4.55 5 4.55 5 4.55 5 4.55 5 5 5	<13.28 Torsional shear 6.45 6.22 6.20 6.20 6.20	48.73 (4.50 4.11
Total X for d Sear Wall X X X Y Y	4 R 1.35 5.79 41.06 311.91 20.92	3417.25 N (My/H) 985.27 314.98 121.00 61.131 121.01	Cene 2 No d ⁴⁷ (r/c 10 10080 11224 20080 210824775 20080775	De la Verde la De De la Verde	PESS PESS 79N P	ft ft manaet arm	00 Direct shaar 49.54 45.55 45.55 45.55 45.55 45.55 0.00 0.00	-13.28 Torsional dear 6.42 6.22 6.22 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.2	46.71 (4.0) (4.0) (4.0) (4.0) (4.0)
Tatal X for d Shear Walt X2 X3 Y1 Y2 Y2 Y2 Y2	4 R 1.15 5.79 41.06 411.08 411.08 88.58	3437.25 Ki (big/m) 989.27 S14.58 125.00 45.583 125.61 25.61 25.61	Control 2 NG 45 ¹ (tritor NG 12:0809 112:24 20:0811 20:08175 52:26:2757 52:26:28175 52:26:28175	De de la constante De la constante de la constante De la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante constante de la constante d	BLSS 75% P	R R misnet am	** Dreat draar 49.54 45.55 4.55 4.55 0.00 0.00 0.00	-13.28 Torsional shear 6.40 6.22 6.22 6.20 6.40 6.40 6.41 6.41 0.21	46.71 (4.0) 4.11 4.0 4.10 4.10 4.10 5.10
Total X for d Shear Wall X X X X Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	48 1.55 5.79 41.08 415.91 85.58 45.58 45.58 74.78	3417.25 81 (bbp/be) 989.27 314.98 525.00 45.585 125.81 218.14 218.14 119.32	Control 2 NG 45 ¹ (110 NG 15:080 112:24 21:080 2100 21:080	Daniel Internet In Daniel Internet Inte	BLSS 75% P	R R misment arm	** Direct dram -49.54 45.55 4.53 6.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	-13.28 Torsional shear 6.45 6.22 6.20 6.20 6.20 6.20 6.20 6.21 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20	46.71 (4.3) 4.12 4.2 4.4 5.3 2.3 2.3 2.3
Tatal X for d Sear Wall X X X X X Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	4 R 1.15 5.79 41.06 411.91 45.56	3427.25 83 (Ray/w) 989.27 514.98 125.60 45.585 125.61 218.64 159.52 91.96.94	Cere 2 NJ 47/100 NS 152000 11224 25900 3500047 1500013 891228 Mileron	te Biologies	2104.P	R Monard B	** Direct draw 49.54 45.55 4.55 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	-13.28 Torical dear 4.4 4.22 4.40 4.40 4.41 4.31 -2.21 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.4	48.71 (4.0)
Tatal X for d Shear Wall X2 X2 Y2 Y2 Y2 Y2 Y3 Y3 Y4 Y4 Y4 Y4 Y4	4 R 1.15 5.79 41.06 41.16 41.16 41.59 48.39	3437.25 81 (84y/14) 989.27 314.98 125.00 45.85 125.81 285.04 159.52 943.85 245.04 159.52 943.85 543.55	Cene 2 No dr ¹ pito No 150800 15080 150800 150800 15080000000000	te trafatette	716.P	R momant arm	# Direct shear 48254 4535 4535 4535 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00	-13.28 Torstand shap 6.4 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.	48.73 (14.9) 4.12 4.12 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10
Tatal X For d Shear Wall X2 X3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y4 Y3 Y4 Y3 Y4 Y3 Y4 Y3 Y4 Y3 Y4 Y3 Y4	48 1.05 5.79 11.06 11.06 11.09 00.59 74.75 74.75 74.75 74.75	3417.25 81 (84p/14) 985.27 514.60 121.00 40.5.85 125.81 12	Cont 2 10 4/1/10 10 15/00 16/24 20007 300047 350047 15/0013 800047 15/0013 801228 504725 6080217	Bands Borden P Ban Inford page Ny 14824852.4	81.54 754.9	C.854	* Direct deatr 441.54 45.55 4.51 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	-13.28 Torsional share 6.22 6.22 6.20 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.4	101d day 44,71 44,90 4,80 4,81 4,81 4,81 4,81 4,81 4,81 4,81 4,81
Total X, For d Sear Wall X2 X2 X2 Y2 Y2 Y2 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3 Y3	48 1.05 5.76 41.06 415.40 45.36	3417.35 KI (Bay/w) 989.27 S14.98 123.00 40.3.85 123.81 208.04 139.32 91.85 191.37 191.47 191.47	Cone 2 10 df/htp 10 10000 10224 20000 7000779 200007 10000779 200007 10000779 200007 200000000	Tend Wind V Te Information 1.404832.4	81.54 754.9	nimet am	- Direct deat 41514 4515 4515 4515 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	-13.28 Torrisonal がため がため 市内 市内	46.71 (4.0) 4.21 4.40 4.31 4.40 4.33 4.40 4.33 4.40 4.33 4.40 4.30 4.3
Tatal K for d Base Wull R1 R2 R1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1 P1	110 110 115 5.79 11.06 10.40 10	3427.25 16 (Approx) 16 (Approx	Cone 2 10 df/ptp 10 11024 20080 7685775 200877 359647 359647 359647 359647 359647 359647 359725 648522 349725 248727 348725	Tati Wali V Ta Islafiya nj (40483) A	81.56 710.7 20,4	n n monet am	**************************************	-13.29 Torstand shar 6.4 0.22 0.20 0.40 0.51 0.51 0.51 0.59 0.75 0.59 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	46,79 44,79 44,90 4,81 4,40 4,81 4,40 4,50 4,50 4,50 4,50 4,50 4,50 4,50
Total X for a Sear Wall X X X X Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	48 1.5 3.5 41.08 41.08 41.08 41.08 41.03 41	3427.25 KI (Alg./He) 989.27 514.80 121.80 121.81 725.41 725.41 1359.32 135.32 135.35 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45 145.17 135.45	Cent 2 10 df [hito 10 15/me 11224 25/081 35/0775 35/075 35/075 35/075000000000000000000000000000000000	End Horized V Be Intel Segue	80.56 799.7 20.4	o.554	- Diret draf 45.54 45.55 4.55 4.55 0.00	-13.29 Territoria distar 6.46 6.20 6.20 6.40 6.11 6.46 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.13 6.13 6.13 6.13 6.13 6.13 6.13	44,73 44,00 4,12 4,13 4,14 4,15 4,15 4,15 4,15 4,15 4,15 4,15
Total & For d (Near Well) 82 82 83 83 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	48 1.05 5.76 1.06 40.96 40.96 40.95 74.75 (%14 41.10 41.25 (%14 41.10 41.25 (%14 41.10 (%14 41.10 (%14 41.10 (%14 (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%15) (%15) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%15) (%15) (%15) (%14) (%15) (%1	3427.29 N [kig/in] N A 86 125.00 05.58 125.00 155.51 195.51 195.51 195.51 195.54 195.57 195.54 195.71 195.54 195.71	Case 2 10 6/2010 10 15/000 11224 200001 15/00013 3000729 3	The second secon	20.4	o.654	**************************************	-13.29 Torrisonal share 6.46 6.22 6.20 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.4	1014 dec 46,71 14,00 4,32 4,34 4,35 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39
Total K for J Share Wall R2 R2 R3 R3 R3 R4 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	48 10 57 57 41.08 41.08 41.08 41.03 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.18 45.19 12.79	3427.25 N (Ay/m) 985.27 N 4.0 121.00 41.13 121.00 41.13 121.00 41.13 121.00 121	Cons 2 No 6/1/10 No 102060 10224 20060 10224 20060 102060 102060 102060 102060 102070 102060 102070 104051 104051 200 60110 104051 200	End Horizante Be Intel [®] Sento 1.4058232.4	20.4	C.554	**************************************	-13.29 Tortinal ther 6.4 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2	1014 Au 44,71 44,81 4,82 4,84 4,85 4,85 4,85 4,85 4,85 4,85 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19
Total 5 for d Pear Well 82 82 83 91 92 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93	48 135 5.76 41.08 41.08 41.08 48.98 4	3427.29 82 (kip/w) 93 (kip/w) 121.00 61.13 121.00 61.00	Cons 2 19 4/1/10 70 15/080 15/24 25/86779 25/047 15/26779 25/047 15/26779 26/0725 668027 140/727 26/	De la constante la constante 1.424630.4	20.4	o.654	Circuit draw	-13.29 Territorial shear 6.42 6.22 6.20 6.41 6.41 6.41 6.41 6.41 6.41 6.42 6.41 6.41 6.41 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43	1014 Aug 14.70 14.90 4.80 4.40 4.81 4.40 4.85 4.40 4.85 4.40 4.85 4.40 4.85 4.40 4.85 4.40 4.85 4.40 4.85 4.40 4
Total X For d Sheer Wall 32 42 43 43 43 43 44 43 44 43 44 43 44 43 44 43 44 44	48 3.57 3.77 41.08 48.38 74.75 -75.75 -	3427.25 10 [kig/in] 10 [kig/in] 10 [kig/in] 125.00 46.1.8 125.81 205.14 1358.32 1358	Cons. 2 10. 6/ (http: 10 11/2009 1/	Dial and the local sectors of	25,4	o.ss		+13.29 Territoral share 6.42 6.22 6.20 6.20 6.20 6.20 6.20 6.20 6.2	1014 share 44,70 14,00 4,8 4,8 4,8 4,8 4,8 4,8 4,8 4,8 4,9 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19 4,19

			Case 2:	North-South	Wind - ec	centricity			
Total K for d	lirect shear	3452.87		By=	208.30	ft	ey=	-31.25	
Shear Wall	d ft	Ki (kip/in)	Ki di²(kip ft)	ΣKi di ² (kip ft)	75% P	moment arm	Direct shear	Torsional shear	total shear
X1	3.35	989.27	11069				0.00	-0.38	-0.38
X2	5.79	304.98	10224	1 1			0.00	-0.20	-0.20
X3	-13.06	123.00	20988	1 1			0.00	0.18	0.18
Y1	-111.91	613.83	7686779]			-22.23	7.83	-14.41
Y2	-86.56	123.61	926047	1			-4.48	1.22	-3.26
Y3	-86.56	208.04	1558613	1 1			-7.54	2.05	-5.48
¥4	-74.75	159.52	891228]			-5.78	1.36	-4.42
Y5	-74.75	92.85	518725				-3.36	0.79	-2.57
¥6	-59.14	191.17	668522	14868314	125.1	-13.545	-6.92	1.29	-5.64
¥7	-63.33	353.43	1417277]			-12.80	2.55	-10.25
Y8	-21.22	68.14	30692	1 1			-2.47	0.16	-2.30
Y9	-9.75	156.71	14913	1 1			-5.68	0.17	-5.50
Y10	1.72	68.14	200	1			-2.47	-0.01	-2.48
¥11	12.79	367.58	60130	1 1			-13.31	-0.54	-13.85
Y12	22.25	192.22	95120	1			-6.96	-0.49	-7.45
Y13	26.16	369.43	252814				-13.38	-1.10	-14.48
Y14	38.00	488.21	704971				-17.68	-2.11	-19.80
								Max shear	-19.8

			Case 2	: East-West \	Nind - ecce	ntricity			
Total K for o	lirect shear	1417.25		Bx	88.56	ft	ex	-13.28	
Shear Wall	d ft	Ki (kip/in)	Ki di²(kip ft)	ΣKi di ² (kip ft)	75% P	moment arm	Direct shear	Torsional shear	total shear
X1	3.35	989.27	11069				-43.73	0.36	-43.37
X2	5.79	304.98	10224	1			-13.48	0.19	-13.29
X3	-13.06	123.00	20988				-5.44	-0.18	-5.61
Y1	-111.91	613.83	7686779				0.00	-7.50	-7.50
Y2	-86.56	123.61	926047	1			0.00	-1.17	-1.17
¥3	-86.56	208.04	1558613				0.00	-1.97	-1.97
¥4	-74.75	159.52	891228				0.00	-1.30	-1.30
¥5	-74.75	92.85	518725				0.00	-0.76	-0.76
¥6	-59.14	191.17	668522	14868314	62.6	-0.654	0.00	-1.23	-1.23
¥7	-63.33	353.43	1417277				0.00	-2.44	-2.44
Y8	-21.22	68.14	30692				0.00	-0.16	-0.16
Y9	-9.75	156.71	14913				0.00	-0.17	-0.17
Y10	1.72	68.14	200				0.00	0.01	0.01
Y11	12.79	367.58	60130				0.00	0.51	0.51
Y12	22.25	192.22	95120				0.00	0.47	0.47
Y13	26.16	369.43	252814				0.00	1.06	1.06
¥14	38.00	488.21	704971				0.00	2.03	2.03
								Max shear	-43.4

				e	Case 3:75	% N-S wind	+ 75% E-W	wind				
Total K for di NS	irect shear	3452.9		Total K direc	for EW ction	1417.2				_		
Shear Wall	d ft	Ki (kip/in)	Ki di²(kip ft)	zxi di ^s îkip înț	75%P NS	75%P EW	Moment arm NS	Moment arm EW	Direct Shear	Total Moment	Torsional shear	total shear
X1	3.35	989.27	11069		() ()	S		8	-49		1	-48
X2	5.79	304.98	10224	1					-15		0	-15
X3	-13.06	123.00	20988	1					-6	1	0	-7
¥1	-111.91	613.83	7686779	1					-28		-17	-44
¥2	-86.56	123.61	926047	1					-6	1	-3	-8
Y3	-86.56	208.04	1558613	1					-9		-4	-14
¥4	-74.75	159.52	891228	1					-7		-3	-10
¥5	-74.75	92.85	518725		100,000		10000		-4		-2	-6
¥16	-59.14	191.17	668522	14868314	155.5	70.4	17.7	12.63	-9	3642.2429	-3	-11
¥7	-63.33	353.43	1417277		1101010-000		10000		-16		-5	-21
¥8	-21.22	68.14	30692						-3		0	-3
¥9	-9.75	156.71	14913						-7		0	-7
¥10	1.72	68.14	200						-3		0	-3
¥11	12.79	367.58	60130						-17		1	-15
¥12	22.25	192.22	95120						-9		1	-8
Y13	26.16	369.43	252814						-17		2	-14
Y14	38.00	488.21	704971		S	1.1.1		2 B	-22		5	-17
											Max shear	-48.3

			Case	e 4: +75% N3	wind with	eccentricity	- 75% EW #	vind with e	centricity			
Total K for di NS	rect shear	3452.9			By-	208.3		et-	31.2	ħ		
Total K for EV	V direction	1417.2			8	88.6	*	**	-13.3	ŧ		
Shear Wall	đħ	Ki (kip/in)	Kidi'(kip ft)	262 d ² (646 FQ	75%P NS	75%P EW	Moment arm NS	Moment arm EW	Direct Shear	Total Moment	Torsional shear	total shear
301	3.35	989.27	11069	2			-	1	-49		2	-47
32	5.79	304.98	10224						-15	1	1	-14
33	-13.06	123.00	20988						-6	1	-1	.7
¥1	-111.91	613.83	7686779						-13		-35	-47
¥2	-86.56	123.61	926047						-3	1	-5	-8
¥3	-86.56	208.04	1558613						-4	1	-9	-13
¥4	-74.75	159.52	891228						-3	1	-6	-9
Y5	-74.75	92.85	518725						-2		-4	-5
¥6	-59.14	191.17	668522	14868314	155.5	70.4	48.945	0.654	-4	7567.2302	-6	-10
¥7	-63.33	353,43	1417277						-7		-11	-19
1/8	-21.22	68.14	30692						-1		-1	-2
¥9	-9.75	156.71	14913						-3		-1	-4
¥30	1.72	68.14	200						-1		0	-1
¥11	12.79	367.58	60130						-7		2	-5
¥12	22.25	192.22	95120						-4		2	-2
¥13	26.16	369.43	252814						-8		5	-3
Y14	38.00	488.21	704971						-10		9	-1
										Many other ar		

Total K for d	irect shear	3452.9		a statistica a second	By-	208.3	*	ey-	-31.2	17		
Total K for EV	W direction	1417.2	1		Bar	\$8.6	a		13.3			
Shear Wall	dtt	Ki (kip/în)	Kidî'(kip R)	10.4,99.40	75%P NS	75%P EW	Moment arm NS	Moment arm EW	Direct Shear	Total Moment	Torsional shear	total shear
31	3.35	989.27	11069						-49		-0.06	-49
3/2	5.79	304.98	10224						-15		-0.03	-15
33	-13.06	123.00	20988	1					-6		0.03	-6
¥1	-111.91	613.83	7686779	1					-13		1.31	-11
¥2	-86.56	123.61	926047	1					-3		0.20	-2
¥3	-86.56	208.04	1558613	1					-4		0.34	-4
74	-74.75	159.52	891228	1					-3		0.23	-3
¥5	-74.75	92.85	518725	1					-2		0.13	-2
¥6	-59.14	191.17	668522	14868314	155.5	70.4	-13.545	25.914	-4	-282.74	0.21	-4
47	-63.33	353.43	1417277						-7		0.43	-7
78	-21.22	68.14	30692	1					-1		0.03	-1
19	-9.75	156.71	14913						-3		0.03	-3
¥19	1.72	68.14	200	1					-1		0.00	-1
¥11	12.79	367.58	60130						-7		-0.09	-8
¥12	22.25	192.22	95120						-4		-0.08	-4
¥13	26.16	369.43	252814						-8		-0.18	-8
¥14	38.00	488.21	704971						-10		-0.35	-10
										Mary charter		

Appendix 2: Seismic Loads



	Effective seismic weight													
Floor	Slab	beams	shear walls	SDL	live loads	façade	Total							
1A 2A	2302.0	721.8	491.5	752.4	1541.8	9.7	11638.3							
3A	2302.0	721.8	491.5	667.9	1368.7	9.7	5561.5							
5	2064.4	1249.3	491.5	1289.0	513.9	9.7	5617.7							
6 8	1248.8	194.3	491.5	779.8	1243.7	8.6	7933.3							
7	1460.8	194.3	491.5	777.41	325.76	8.6	6516.5							
10 12 14 16	1248.8	194.3	491.5	779.8	1243.7	8.6	15866.6							
11 13 15 17	1547.7	194.3	491.5	885.6	1412.5	8.6	18160.5							
Roof (level 18)	2064.4	194.3	491.5	1289.0	513.9	9.7	4562.7							
			Effec	tive sei	smic we	ight	75857.1							

Punit G. Das | Structural

		Ce	nter of Mas	s at LVL7		
		Load (P.	SF or LF)	total	CC	M
Load	distribution	DL (kip)	SDL (kip)	weight (kip)	x	Y
	Α	662.56	356.10	1018.67	78.40	18.50
	В	41.75	26.60	68.35	15.35	43.91
	с	89.67	38.09	127.76	17.20	62.07
	D	59.82	38.12	97.94	48.80	69.95
	E	30.09	9.58	39.67	35.90	51.70
3	F	11.74	9.98	21.72	53.20	44.80
đ	G	36.49	20.67	57.16	70.85	46.85
2	н	30.65	19.53	50.18	105.65	40.50
<	1	16.89	6.62	23.51	133.90	41.40
	1	32.56	13.83	46.39	131.05	65.17
	K	23.97	10.18	34.15	112.92	48.60
	L C	112.85	61.29	174.14	169.90	69.82
	M	311.76	166.81	478.57	178.50	30.65
	X1	99.74		99.74	108.10	53.25
	X2	30.77		30.77	137.70	56.35
	X3	12.43		12.43	147.70	37.59
	¥1	61.90		61.90	6.02	61.85
	¥2	12.52	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.52	31.36	58.84
7	¥3	21.01		21.01	31.36	42.05
2	¥4	16.13	1	16.13	43.17	40.90
2	Y5	9.41		9.41	43.00	58.88
ŝ.	¥6	19.31	1	19.31	58.78	41.65
2	¥7	35.65		35.65	85.29	45.50
10	Y8	6.89		6.89	96.69	48.25
Ē	¥9	15.84		15.84	108.17	48.25
	Y10	6.89		6.89	108.99	48.25
	Y11	37.07		37.07	119.17	47.05
	¥12	19.39		19.39	128.62	49.80
	Y13	37.26		37.26	132.46	47.10
	¥14	49.24		49.24	144.22	48.70
	Total w	veight	2729.65			
	COM	in X direc	tion	100.2	ft	
	COM	I in Y direc	tion	37.3	ft	

		Center of rigi	idity at LVL7		
Shear Wall	к	x	٧	%K	K* (xory)
X1	989	108.10	53.25	69.80	106939.94
X2	305	137.70	56.35	21.52	41996.23
X3	123	147.70	37.59	8.68	18166.55
¥1	614	6.02	61.85	17.78	37965.15
Y2	124	31.36	58.84	3.58	7273.13
¥3	208	31.36	42.05	6.03	8748.23
Y4	160	43.17	40.90	4.62	6524.51
Y5	93	43.00	58.88	2.69	5466.89
Y6	191	58.78	41.65	5.54	7962.35
¥7	353	85.29	45.50	10.24	16081.10
Y8	68	96.69	48.25	1.97	3287.56
Y9	157	108.17	48.25	4.54	7561.39
Y10	68	108.99	48.25	1.97	3287.56
Y11	368	119.17	47.05	10.65	17294.47
Y12	192	128.62	49.80	5.57	9572.77
Y13	369	132.46	47.10	10.70	17399.92
Y14	488	144.22	48.70	14.14	23775.67
Total stiffn	ess in X	1417.25			
Total stiffn	ess in Y	3452.87			
COR	COR in X				
CORI	n Y	49.87			

			St	tiffness of sh	ear walls on LVL	.7			
Shear Wall	h	thickness (in)	length (I) inches	А	İx	ly	E	G	к
X1	95	15.72	564.00	61.57	235022049		4030	1831.8	989.3
X2	95	15.72	174.00	19.00	6901111		4030	1831.8	305.0
X3	95	11.81	93.60	7.68	806905		4030	1831.8	123.0
Y1	95	19.68	279.60	38.21		35847209	4030	1831.8	613.8
Y2	95	15.72	70.80	7.73		464912	4030	1831.8	123.6
Y3	95	15.72	118.80	12.97		2196446	4030	1831.8	208.0
¥4	95	15.72	91.20	9.96		993701	4030	1831.8	159.5
Y5	95	11.81	70.80	5.81		349217	4030	1831.8	92.8
Y6	95	15.72	109.20	11.92		1705844	4030	1831.8	191.2
¥7	95	15.72	201.60	22.01		10733538	4030	1831.8	353.4
Y8	95	6.00	102.00	4.25		530604	4030	1831.8	68.1
Y9	95	13.80	102.00	9.78		1220389	4030	1831.8	156.7
Y10	95	6.00	102.00	4.25		530604	4030	1831.8	68.1
Y11	95	13.80	238.80	22.89		15660326	4030	1831.8	367.6
Y12	95	9.84	175.20	11.97		4409772	4030	1831.8	192.2
Y13	95	13.80	240.00	23.00		15897600	4030	1831.8	369.4
Y14	95	15.72	278.40	30.39		28266952	4030	1831.8	488.2

				Vertica	Distribution of	Seismic Fo	orces in E-W d	lirection				
k= i	2.0			2 1 200.00								
Level	wi	hi	hx	w * hx^k	Iwi * hi*k	Cvx	Fx	V kips	M (reerturning)	By	5% By	Mz
1A	5819	20.3	20.34	2407753	2	0.00	1.31	758.6	0.0	208.3	10.42	13.7
2A	5819	9.8	30.18	5301567		0.00	2.89	757.3	58.8	208.3	10.42	30.1
3A	5562	9.8	40.03	8910104		0.01	4.86	754.4	146.6	208.3	10.42	50.6
5.00	5618	16.9	56.92	18202355		0.01	9.92	749.5	397.0	208.3	10.42	103.3
6.00	3967	13.1	70.05	19462059		0.01	10.61	739.6	603.7	208.3	10.42	110.5
7.00	3258	13.1	83.17	22537804		0.02	12.28	729.0	860.3	208.3	10.42	127.9
8.00	3967	13.1	96.29	36779789		0.03	20.04	716.7	1667.0	208.3	10.42	208.8
9.00	32.58	13.1	109.42	39007431		0.03	21.26	696.7	2046.9	208.3	10.42	221.4
10.00	3967	13.1	122.54	59562681	1391993350	0.04	32.46	675.4	3551.5	208.3	10.42	338.1
11.00	4540	13.1	135.66	83558154		0.06	45.54	642.9	5579.9	208.3	10.42	474.2
12.00	3967	13.1	148.79	87810734		0.06	47.85	597.4	6491.8	208.3	10.42	498.4
13.00	4540	13.1	161.91	119017856		0.09	64.86	549.6	9650.1	208.3	10.42	675.5
14.00	3967	13.1	175.03	121523949		0.09	66.22	484.7	10722.4	208.3	10.42	689.7
15.00	4540	13.1	188.16	160732853		0.12	87.59	418.5	15331.4	208.3	10.42	912.3
16.00	3967	13.1	201.28	160702325		0.12	87.58	330.9	16477.8	208.3	10.42	912.1
17.00	4540	13.1	214.40	208703145		0.15	113.73	243.3	22892.2	208.3	10.42	1184.5
Roof (level 18)	4563	13.9	228.28	237772791		0.17	129.57	129.6	27781.2	208.3	10.42	1349.5
	Tota	al torsiona moment	7900.5	k-ft	Total o	werturning moment	124258.7	24258.7 k-ft Total base s			758.6	kip

and a second	.0			Vertica	il Distribution d	t Selamic P	orces in N-3 d	irection				
Level	wi	hi	hx	w * hx^k	Iwi * hink	Cvx	Fx	V kips	M [restaning]	By	S% By	Mz
1A	5819	20.3	20.3	2407753		0.00	1.31	758.6	0.0	88.6	4.43	5.8
2A	5819	9.8	30.2	5301567		0.00	2.89	757.3	58.8	88.6	4.43	12.8
3A	5562	9.8	40.0	8910104		0.01	4.86	754.4	146.6	88.6	4.43	21.5
5.00	5618	16.9	56.9	18202355		0.01	9.92	749.5	397.0	88.6	4.43	43.9
6.00	3967	13.1	70.0	19462059		0.01	10.61	739.6	603.7	88.6	4.43	47.0
7.00	3258	13.1	83.2	22537804		0.02	12.28	729.0	860.3	88.6	4.43	54.4
8.00	3967	13.1	96.3	36779789		0.03	20.04	716.7	1667.0	88.6	4.43	88.8
9.00	3258	13.1	109.4	39007431		0.03	21.26	696.7	2046.9	88.6	4.43	94.2
10.00	3967	13.1	122.5	59562681	1391993350	0.04	32.46	675.4	3551.5	88.6	4.43	143.8
11.00	4540	13.1	135.7	83558154		0.06	45.54	642.9	5579.9	88.6	4.43	201.7
12.00	3967	13.1	148.8	87810734		0.06	47.85	597.4	6491.8	88.6	4.43	212.0
13.00	4540	13.1	161.9	119017856		0.09	64.86	549.6	9650.1	88.6	4.43	287.3
14.00	3967	13.1	175.0	121523949		0.09	66.22	484.7	10722.4	88.6	4.43	293.4
15.00	4540	13.1	188.2	160732853		0.12	87.59	418.5	15331.4	88.6	4.43	388.0
16.00	3967	13.1	201.3	160702325		0.12	87.58	330.9	16477.8	88.6	4.43	388.0
17.00	4540	13.1	214.4	208703145		0.15	113,73	243.3	22892.2	88.6	4.43	503.8
Roof (level 18	4563	13.9	228.3	237772791		0.17	129.57	129.6	27781.2	88.6	4.43	574.0
ſ	Tota	al torsional moment -	3360.5	k-ft	Total overturning moment = 124258.7 k-ft			Total base shear = 758.6 kip				

Punit G. Das | Structural

		Fo	rces in Shear W	alls due to Base	shear in N-S	direction at Lew	817		
Total K for d	firect shear	3452.60	1.00 million (1.00 million)	Shear force	728.99	And there is an inclusion			
Shear Wall	dft	Ki (kip/in)	Kidiî(kipft)	ΣKI di ² (kip ft)	Р	Moment arm	Direct Shear	Torsional shear	Total Shear
XI	3.30	989.30	11069				0.0	2.8	2.8
X2	5.80	305.00	10224				0.0	1.5	1.5
33	-13.10	123.00	20988	1		1 1	0.0	-1.4	-1.4
¥1	-111.90	613.80	7686779	1		1 3	-129.6	-59.6	-189.2
¥2	-86.60	123.60	926047				-26.1	-9.3	-35.4
¥3	-86.60	208.00	1558613			1 1	-43.9	-15.6	-59.5
¥4	-74.70	159.50	891228	1		1 9	-33.7	-10.3	-44.0
¥5	-74.70	92.80	518725	1			-19.6	-6.0	-25.6
¥6	-59.10	191.20	668522	14868314	729.0	17.7	-40,4	-9.8	-50.2
¥7	-63.30	353.40	1417277	1		1 1	-74.6	-19.4	-94.0
¥8	-21.20	68.10	30692	1			-14.4	-1.3	-15.6
¥9	-9.80	156.70	14913	1 1		1 9	-33.1	-1.3	-34.4
¥10	1.70	68.10	200	1		1	-14.4	0.1	-14.3
¥11	12.80	367.60	60130	1		1 1	-77.6	4.1	-73.5
¥12	22.20	192.20	95120	1 1		1 1	-40.6	3.7	-36.9
¥13	26.20	369.40	252814				-78.0	8.4	-69.6
¥14	38.00	488.20	704971				-103.1	16.1	-87.0
1.								Max shear	-189.2
							So, Y1 is the	critical wall in	NS direction

Forces in Shear Walls due to Base shear in I-W direction at Level 7									
Total K for direct shear		1417.30		Shear force	728.99	1.	2 A 4		
Shear Wall	dft	Ki (kip/in)	Kidiî(kipft)	ΣKi di²(kip ft)	р	Moment arm	Direct Shear	Torsional shear	Total Shear
×1	3.30	989.30	11069	14868314.27	729.0	12.6	-508.8	2.0	-506.8
X2	5.80	305.00	10224				-156.9	1.1	-155.8
XG	-13.10	123.00	20988				-63.3	-1.0	-64.3
¥1	-111.90	613.80	7686779				0.0	-42.4	-42.4
¥2	-86.60	123.60	926047				0.0	-6.6	-6.6
¥3	-86.60	208.00	1558613				0.0	-11.1	-11.1
¥4	-74.70	159.50	\$91228				0.0	-7.4	-7.4
Y5	-74.70	92.80	518725				0.0	-4.3	-4.3
Y6	-59.10	191.20	668522				0.0	-7.0	-7.0
¥7	-63.30	353.40	1417277				0.0	-13.8	-13.8
YB	-21.20	68.10	30692				0.0	-0.9	-0.9
¥9	-9.80	156.70	14913				0.0	-0.9	-0.9
Y10	1.70	68.10	200				0.0	0.1	0.1
¥11	12.80	367.60	60130				0.0	2.9	2.9
¥12	22.20	192.20	95120				0.0	2.6	2.6
¥13	26.20	369.40	252814				0.0	6.0	6.0
¥14	38.00	488.20	704971				0.0	11.5	11.5
1.000 A	10000					1	1	Max shear	-506.8
So, X1 is the critical shear wall in EW direction									

Appendix 4: Spot check of Shear Wall



December 2, 2012

Punit G. Das | Structural

Technical Report 3



Shen what chek Tech Report 3 2 (2) Caparity based sher strong the * Nor = No+ NL = 9600 + (532.3)(1+)(8) = 19,198k + a = T+ Ny = 379.2 + 19198 = 248.8 in as556 6 657(4.7) (9.7) 0000 + Mpr = T (d. a) + Mpr (lu-a) COMILT = (379.2) (548.3-248.8) + 19198 (47(12)-2488) = (160742.9 + 3025604.8) k-in Mpr = 265528.9 k. At Vu = Mor = 265528.9 = 2326 K (3) Shear strength Vn= Acv (de AVFE+ P, fy) $S_{\pm} = \frac{A_{V}}{h_{s_{2}}} = \frac{(3)(2) + (2)(0, 0)}{(19, 7)(7, 86)} = \frac{0.0079}{0.0025}$ (19.2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (20) + (20)

Shen Wall check Tab Report 3 \$Vn= (0.75) Vn = 5092 Mp > Vukap Thus, 19.7in thick shen well in E-W direstin is has adequate otherigth to support vertical and horizontal locking during one carthquate 88888 0020 COMET

Appendix 5: Story Drift

Story Prift Tak Repril 3 1 Procedure to calculate story drogt. () Using story forces in wind and seisme relaction F= story forces (min) his height of each story (in) his height of story from bone (~) t = thickness of shear well (in) l: length of shear wall (in) E= Machiles of destraity (KST) for shew -ills I = Moment of instile (1) G. Ster modulus (in) K. Stiffnen due to unit (klin) distributed had X1, X2, X3 - Sher wells in East west directions Y1, Y2, ... - Y14 - Shew walls in North-South directions D = story drift W= distributed what severi local (k/in) A= A Gon-sectional area of the of = Maxe stear Average street

December 2, 2012

Punit G. Das | Structural

Steps A= K K == = Juhi + which BEI + 2AG I = 0.7 Ig for uncracked walls (ACI 10,19,4) I= 0.35 Ig for cracked walls I. G. K were calculated at each story wing t. l, A and E. July D= K P= F/hx Complete excel sheet calculations for story drift can be made available upon request. Due to large number of tables and sheets, the files are not attached to this file.